

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FEB 27 2007

DEPT. 45

FILED
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

[Signature]
MAR 2 2007

JOHN A. CLAGUE, CLERK
BY *[Signature]* S. HARRIS, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ADRIANA MRAZ; ESTATE OF RICHARD
MRAZ; a minor plaintiffs ADDISON MRAZ,
JOE LOPEZ, ROY LOPEZ, through their
Guardian Ad Litem Adriana Mraz,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CASE NO. BC 332487

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Department: 45

Judge: Hon. Mel Red Recana

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. Was the design of the 1992 Dodge Dakota a substantial factor in causing harm to Richard Mraz?

Yes _____ No _____

[If yes, please answer #2, #3, and #4. If no, go to #5.]

2. Do the risks of the design of the 1992 Dodge Dakota outweigh the benefits of the design?

Yes _____ No _____

[Go to #3.]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Did the 1992 Dodge Dakota fail to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected it to perform?

Yes _____ No _____

[Go to #4.]

4. Was DaimlerChrysler Corporation negligent in designing the 1992 Dodge Dakota?

Yes _____ No _____

[Go to #5.]

5. Did DaimlerChrysler Corporation fail to adequately warn or instruct of the potential risks associated with the 1992 Dodge Dakota?

Yes _____ No _____

[If yes, go to #6. If no, go to #7.]

6. Was the lack of adequate warning or instruction regarding the potential risks associated with the 1992 Dodge Dakota a substantial factor in causing harm to Richard Mraz?

Yes _____ No _____

[Go to #7.]

7. Was DaimlerChrysler Corporation negligent in failing to adequately recall or retrofit the 1992 Dodge Dakota?

Yes _____ No _____

[If yes, go to #8. If no, go to the note following #8.]

1 8. Was DaimlerChrysler Corporation's negligence in failing to adequately recall or
 2 retrofit the 1992 Dodge Dakota a substantial factor in causing harm to Richard
 3 Mraz?

4 Yes No

5 [If you answered yes to any of #2, #3, #4, #6, or #8, go to #9. If not, sign and
 6 date the verdict form.]

7 9. What are the total economic damages incurred by the estate of Richard Mraz?
 8 Do not reduce these damages based on the fault, if any, of Richard Mraz and/or
 9 others.

10 a. Medical expenses: \$ 175,534.25

11 b. Lost wages prior to death: \$ 4,029.00

12 [Go to #10.]

13
 14 10. What are the total economic and non-economic damages incurred by the heirs of
 15 Richard Mraz, who are Adriana Mraz, Addison Mraz, Joe Lopez, and Ray
 16 Lopez? Do not reduce these damages based on the fault, if any, of Richard
 17 Mraz and/or others.

18 a. Economic damages: \$ 3,033,753

19 b. Non-economic damages: \$ 2,000,000

20 [Go to #11.]

21 11. Was Richard Mraz negligent?

22 Yes No

23
 24 [If yes, go to #12. If no, enter 0% for #15a and then go to #13.]

25 12. Was Richard Mraz's negligence a substantial factor in causing his death?

26 Yes No

27
 28 [If yes, go to #13. If no, enter 0% for #15a and then go to #13.]

13. Was American President Lines/Eagle Marine Services negligent?

Yes No

[If yes, go to #14. If no, enter 0% for #15b and then complete #15.]

14. Was the negligence of American President Lines/Eagle Marine Services a substantial factor in causing Richard Mraz's death?

Yes No

[If yes, go to #15. If no, enter 0% for #15b and then complete #15.]

15. What percentage of responsibility for Richard Mraz's death do you assign to each of the following? Your total must be 100%:

- a. Richard Mraz: 10 %
- b. American President Lines/Eagle Marine Services: 15 %
- c. DaimlerChrysler Corporation: 75 %
- TOTAL: 100%

[If you assigned any percentage of responsibility to DaimlerChrysler Corporation, go to #16. If not, sign and date the verdict form.]

16. Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that DaimlerChrysler Corporation acted with malice when engaging in the conduct upon which you have based your finding of liability?

Yes No

[Sign and date the verdict form.]

3/2/07
Date

Carol S. Liberty
Foreperson

FILED
 LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
 MAR 7 2007
 JOHN W. CLARKE, CLERK
 BY *D. HARG*, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ADRIANA MRAZ; ESTATE OF RICHARD
 MRAZ; a minor plaintiffs ADDISON MRAZ,
 JOE LOPEZ, ROY LOPEZ, through their
 Guardian Ad Litem Adriana Mraz,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CASE NO. BC 332487

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Department: 45
 Judge: Hon. Mel Red Recana

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award to the estate of Richard Mraz?

\$
50,000,000

[Please sign and date the verdict form.]

3/7/07

Date

Carol S. Liberty

 Foreperson